

### Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/569,536

**Applicant(s)**

JARVIS ET AL.

**Examiner**

Patrick F. Brinson

**Art Unit**

3754

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Patrick F. Brinson.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Charles Rodman.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 15 July 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 24-43.

Identification of prior art discussed: U.S. 7,168,451 to Dundas.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Rodman called to inform me that the Dundas reference was not proper to use in the rejection as the filing date of the reference 2/6/2006 is after the application priority date of 9/30/2003. I informed Mr. Rodman that I would send out a second office action and restart the period of response.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Patrick F. Brinson/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3754